


The **datasheet Sectors** analyzes the assessments given per sector. Three graphics are provided as outcome:
 1) Energy Assessment per Sectors Overall (only overall assessments are taken into account)
 2) Energy Assessment per Aims (this more profound assessment evaluates the sectors per data given for each aim)
 3) Comparison of assessments given per sectors and per aims.


Overview outcome assessment sectors

Energy Assessment	# of assessments	SP	max SP per sector	%
Energy Generation Distribution and Consumption	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Mobility and Transport	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Urban Planning	1	2	3	50,0%
Waste	1	1	3	0,0%
Housing and Buildings	1	2	3	50,0%
Water	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Health and Liveability	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Consumption Patterns	0	0	0	#DIV/0!




Overview outcome assessment sectors based on the culmulation of aims

Energy Assessment	# of assessments	SP	max SP per sector	%
Energy Generation Distribution and Consumption	170	320	510	44,1%
Mobility and Transport	144	301	432	54,5%
Urban Planning	126	252	378	50,0%
Waste	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
Housing and Buildings	306	618	918	51,0%
Water	42	102	126	71,4%
Health and Liveability	94	186	282	48,9%
Consumption Patterns	16	32	48	50,0%



Energy Assessment	% Overall	% Aims
Energy Generation Distribution and Consumption	#DIV/0!	44,1%
Mobility and Transport	#DIV/0!	54,5%
Urban Planning	50,0%	50,0%
Waste	0,0%	#DIV/0!
Housing and buildings	50,0%	51,0%
Water	#DIV/0!	71,4%
Health and Liveability	#DIV/0!	48,9%
Consumption Patterns	#DIV/0!	50,0%



The **datasheet Aims** displays the individual assessments given for each aim.




For an easier comparison among the aims and sectors, the results are given as percentages. Cells left blank in the Assessment datasheet will not influence the outcome negatively. However it is advised to give as many assessments as possible to validate the results.

If an aim is completely inapplicable you can delete the row in this datasheet to avoid a 0% result. However, once deleted the row cannot be restored easily.

Overview outcome assessment aims




Sector	Aim	# of assessments	SP	max SP per sector	%
Energy Generation Distribution and Consumption	Reduce overall energy consumption in entire city	17	32	51	44,1%
	Reduce energy consumption of LA	17	32	51	44,1%
	Reduce energy consumption in private sector	17	32	51	44,1%
	Reduce energy consumption in households	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of renewable energy production	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of renewable energy consumption in entire city	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of renewable energy consumption in LA	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of renewable energy consumption in private sector	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of renewable energy consumption in households	17	32	51	44,1%
	Increase share of local energy sources	17	32	51	44,1%
Energy Generation Distribution and Consumption		170	320	510	44,12%
Mobility and Transport	Increase bike use	16	37	48	65,6%
	Decrease fossil fuel car use	16	33	48	53,1%
	Increase share of public transport	16	33	48	53,1%
	Decrease motorised individual traffic of LA-Employees	16	33	48	53,1%
	Increase pedestrian areas	16	33	48	53,1%
	Decrease conventional goods traffic	16	33	48	53,1%
	Increase intermodal connection of railway stations	16	33	48	53,1%
	Increase share of renewables in public transport	17	35	51	52,9%
	Increase renewables in LA car use	15	31	45	53,3%
Mobility and Transport		144	301	432	54,51%
Urban Planning	Reduce Urban sprawl/ compact cities	18	40	54	61,1%
	Increase share of green areas/ decrease share of sealed areas	18	40	54	61,1%
	Increase mixed housing developments	18	40	54	61,1%
	Increase urban biodiversity	18	40	54	61,1%
	Increase ecological neighborhoods	18	38	54	55,6%
	Increase reuse of energy by energy transfer/exchange	18	36	54	50,0%
	Housing planning designed for optimal solar energy	18	18	54	0,0%
Urban Planning		126	252	378	50,00%

Graphics






	Reduce total waste	0	0	0	
	Increase share of waste recycled	0	0	0	
	Increase separate waste collection	0	0	0	
	Increase biowaste methanisation/ waste-to-energy	0	0	0	
	Waste	0	0	0	
	Increase retrofitting rate	0	0	0	
	Increase share of retrofitted LA buildings	0	0	0	
	Increase share of retrofitted private sector buildings	0	0	0	
	Increase share of retrofitted citizen houses	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase use of local/sustainable construction materials	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase longevity of buildings/ re-use potential	18	39	54	58,3%
	Increase share of low energy houses	18	39	54	58,3%
	Increase overall share of CHP in Houses and Buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of CHP in LA buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of CHP in citizen houses	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of CHP in private sector buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of houses with PV installations	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of houses with solarthermic installations	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of PV installations on LA buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of solarthermic installations on LA buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of PV installations on private sector buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of solarthermic installations on private sector buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of PV installations on citizen houses	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of solarthermic installations on citizen houses	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of biomass heating in houses and buildings	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase share of geothermal heating in houses and buildings	0	0	0	
	Housing and Buildings	306	618	918	50,98%
	Increase Energy efficiency in water&wastewater utilities	18	54	54	100,0%
	Reduce Industrial Water consumption	0	0	0	
	Reduce domestic water consumption	0	0	0	
	Reduce stormwater runoff	0	0	0	
	Increase separation of wastewater and stormwater streams	12	24	36	50,0%
	Increase reuse of greywater/treated water	12	24	36	50,0%
	Water	42	102	126	71,43%
	Increase accessibility/proximity of recreational areas	12	28	36	66,7%



	Ensure affordable housing	4	4	12	0,0%
	Reduce energy poverty	18	34	54	44,4%
	Improve air quality	18	36	54	50,0%
	Reduce noise exposure	18	36	54	50,0%
	Increase availability of community facilities/services	12	24	36	50,0%
	Increase walkability in neighborhoods	12	24	36	50,0%
Health and Liveability		94	186	282	48,94%
	Increase share of local goods	16	32	48	50,0%
		do not delete!			
		do not delete!			
	Increase urban agriculture	0	0	0	
Consumption Patterns		16	32	48	50,00%





The **datasheet Assessment_Gov** analyzes the assessments given for each sector (per aim) and displays the outcome for each column (e.g. "Policy").
The traffic light symbols display the results for each column. They are underlined by bar diagrams indicating gradings between assessments.
The percentage below indicates the number of assessments given for each match.

Sector	Policy			Institutional Settings					Interdepartmental Cooperation		Financial Resources	Instruments used by LA				Stakeholder & Players			Ownership structure
	Mid-term target	Long-term target	Status quo	LA responsible	Subregional government responsible	Regional government responsible	National government responsible	EU responsible	Intersectorality	Effectiveness		Formal or regulatory instruments	Informal Instruments	Financial instruments	Organisational Instruments	Public	Civil society	Private	
Energy Generation Distribution <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>100%</u> 100%	<u>0%</u> 100%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 100%	<u>0%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Mobility and Transport <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>56%</u> 100%	<u>56%</u> 100%	<u>56%</u> 100%	<u>56%</u> 100%	<u>100%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 11%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>50%</u> 89%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Urban Planning <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>71%</u> 100%	<u>71%</u> 100%	<u>79%</u> 100%	<u>79%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>43%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%
Waste <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Housing and buildings <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>56%</u> 81%	<u>56%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>56%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 81%	<u>50%</u> 100%
Water <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>100%</u> 17%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>67%</u> 50%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Health and Liveability <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 100%	<u>50%</u> 43%	<u>50%</u> 43%	<u>50%</u> 43%	<u>50%</u> 43%	<u>33%</u> 43%	<u>33%</u> 43%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>50%</u> 86%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Consumption Patterns <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>0%</u> 0%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>50%</u> 50%	<u>0%</u> 0%
Overall <i>Amount of data given</i>	<u>56%</u> 82%	<u>56%</u> 82%	<u>50%</u> 82%	<u>56%</u> 82%	<u>50%</u> 73%	<u>50%</u> 61%	<u>50%</u> 58%	<u>50%</u> 55%	<u>50%</u> 73%	<u>50%</u> 73%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 80%	<u>50%</u> 38%
Median of categories	<u>56%</u>			<u>54%</u>					<u>39%</u>		<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>				<u>50%</u>			<u>33%</u>

Lille		Quality of categories and problems					
		Policy	Institutional settings	Interdepartmental Cooperation	Financial Resources	Instruments used by LA	Stakeholders and Players
<p>"The scheme of the governance structure"</p> <p> High quality Average quality Low quality No information </p>	<p> Mid-term target Long-term target Status quo </p>	<p> LA responsible Subregional government responsible Regional government responsible National government responsible EU responsible </p>	<p> Intersectoriality Integrity </p>	<p> </p>	<p> Formal or regulatory instruments Informal Instruments Financial instruments Organisational Instruments </p>	<p> Public Civil society Private </p>	<p> </p>
	main problems						